c.	1 2	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP John B. Quinn (Bar No. 90378) Shon Morgan (Bar No. 187736)	CHARLENE M. MORROW (CSB NO. 136411) cmorrow@fenwick.com VIRGINIA K. DEMARCHI (CSB NO. 140622)	
	3 4	865 S Figueroa St 10th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000	168633) vdemarchi@fenwick.com HECTOR J. RIBERA (CSB NO. 221511)	
	5	Facsimile: (213) 443-3100 Email: johnquinn@quinnemanuel.com	hribera@fenwick.com RYAN TYZ (CSB NO. 234895)	
	6	shonmorgan@quinnemanuel.com David Eiseman (Bar No. 114758)	rtyz@fenwick.com RAVI RANGANATH (CSB NO. 272981) rranganath@fenwick.com	
	7	Melissa J. Baily (Bar No. 237649) Carl G. Anderson (Bar No. 239927)	FENWICK & WEST LLP Silicon Valley Center	
	8	50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 875 6600	801 California Street Mountain View, California 94041 Telephone: (650) 988-8500	
	10	Facsimile: (415) 875 6700 Email: davideiseman@quinnemanuel.com	Facsimile: (650) 938-5200	
	11	melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com carlanderson@quinnemanuel.com	Attorneys for Defendants LSI Corporation and Agere Systems Inc.	
	12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Barnes & Noble, Inc. and	Agere Systems inc.	
/EST L] .T LAW View	13	barnesandnoble.com llc		
IWICK & WEST I ATTORNEYS AT LAW MOUNTAIN VIEW	14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
FENWICK & WEST LLP Attorneys at Law Mountain View	15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
щ	16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
	17			
	18	BARNES & NOBLE, INC. and BARNESANDNOBLE.COM LLC,	Case No. 11-cv-02709 EMC	
	19	Plaintiffs,	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING	
	20 21	v.	TIME FOR PATENT LOCAL RULE DISCLOSURES	
	22	LSI CORPORATION and AGERE SYSTEMS INC.,	Trial Date: None set	
	23	Defendants.		
	24		J	
	25	Pursuant to Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiffs Barnes & Noble, Inc. and Barnesandnoble.com LLC		
	26	("Plaintiffs") and Defendants LSI Corporation and Agere Systems Inc. ("Defendants")		
	27	(collectively, the "Parties"), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate		
	28	and agree as follows:		
		STIPULATION REGARDING TIME FOR PATENT LOCAL RULE DISCLOSURES	11-CV-02709 EMC	

	1	WHEREAS, on Septem
	2	and Rule 26 Report [Dkt. No. 5
	3	Defendants' disclosure of asser
	4	WHEREAS, following
	5	the Alternative, to Transfer Ver
	6	Answer to the Amended Comp
	7	infringement;
	8	WHEREAS, the Court,
	9	Management Conference in thi
	10	WHEREAS, in view of
	11	Defendants shall have until Feb
	12	Management Conference, to se
ΕW	13	Contentions pursuant to Patent
Mountain View	14	3-2;
	15	WHEREAS, the Parties
	16	ultimately set by the Court or a
	17	THE PARTIES HEREI
	18	to serve their Disclosure of Ass
	19	L.R. 3-1 and 3-5 and produce d
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	

WHEREAS, on September 30, 2011 the Parties filed a Joint Case Management Statement						
and Rule 26 Report [Dkt. No. 58], proposing a case schedule that provided, inter alia, for						
Defendants' disclosure of asserted claims and infringement contentions on December 27, 2011;						
WHEREAS, following the Court's Order denying Defendants' Motion to Dismiss or, in						
he Alternative, to Transfer Venue [Dkt. No. 61], on November 1, 2011 Defendants filed their						
Answer to the Amended Complaint and Counterclaims [Dkt. No. 62] asserting claims for patent						
nfringement;						
WHEREAS, the Court, by Order dated November 2, 2011 [Dkt. No. 63], set a Case						
Management Conference in this matter for January 20, 2012;						
WHEREAS, in view of the provisions of Patent L.R. 3-5(a), the Parties agree that						
Defendants shall have until February 3, 2012, fourteen days after the scheduled Case						
Management Conference, to serve their Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement						
Contentions pursuant to Patent L.R. 3-1 and 3-5 and produce documents pursuant to Patent L.R.						
3-2;						
WHEREAS, the Parties do not expect that this proposed date will impact the schedule						
altimately set by the Court or alter the date of any event or deadline already fixed by Court Order;						
THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE that Defendants shall have until February 3, 2012						
o serve their Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions pursuant to Patent						
L.R. 3-1 and 3-5 and produce documents pursuant to Patent L.R. 3-2.						

28

	1	Dated: November 14, 2011	FENWICK & WEST LLP
	2		
	3		By: <u>/s/ Ravi Ranganath</u>
	4		Ravi Ranganath Attorneys for Defendants
	5	Dated: November 14, 2011	LSI Corporation and Agere Systems Inc.
	6		QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
	7		
	8		By: /s/ Carl G. Anderson
	9		Carl G. Anderson Attorneys for Plaintiffs Barnes & Noble, Inc. and barnesandnoble.com LLC
	10		
	11		
	12		
T LLP AW W	13		
IWICK & WEST I ATTORNEYS AT LAW MOUNTAIN VIEW	14		
FENWICK & WEST LLP Attorneys at Law Mountain View	15	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Ľ.	16	ORDERED.	
	17		_
	18	Edward M. Chon United S IT IS SO ORDERED	
	19	Judge Edward M. Chen	
	20		
	21	E Just	
	22	DISTRICT OF CE	
	23	DISTRICT	
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		

3

STIPULATION REGARDING TIME FOR PATENT LOCAL RULE DISCLOSURES

11-CV-02709 EMC

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45 Pursuant to General Order No. 45, § X(B), regarding signatures, I attest under penalty of perjury that the concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from its signatories. Dated: November 14, 2011 FENWICK & WEST LLP By: /s/ Ravi Ranganath Ravi Ranganath Attorneys for Defendants LSI Corporation and Agere Systems Inc. FENWICK & WEST LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW MOUNTAIN VIEW